Perturbation theory within the $\mathrm{O}(4,2)$ group for a hydrogen-like atom in the field of a distant charge

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.
1985 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 L689
(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/18/12/001)
View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:
IP Address: 129.252.86.83
The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 08:50

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Perturbation theory within the $\mathbf{O}(4,2)$ group for a hydrogen-like atom in the field of distant charge 

M B Kadomtsev $\dagger$ and S I Vinitsky<br>Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980 Head Post Office PO Box 79, 101000 Moscow, USSR

Received 22 May 1985


#### Abstract

In the $O(4,2)$ group an algebraic scheme of perturbation theory is proposed which enables one to find the energy and wavefunctions of bound states of a hydrogen-like atom in the field of the point charge placed at large distance $R$. It is shown that in each order of $R^{-1}$ the correction to the wavefunction is expressed through a finite number of Coulomb functions of the discrete spectrum with a modified charge. Simple analytical expressions are obtained for the first and second corrections.


In recent years, many theoretical and experimental papers have been devoted to the behaviour of atoms in external electric and magnetic fields (Soloviev 1981, 1982, Braun 1983, Braun and Soloviev 1984, Clark et al 1984, Littman et al 1976, Zimmerman et al 1980). For a theoretical description of these systems one should first construct a perturbation theory for weak fields. However, the use of standard RayleighSchrödinger perturbation theory leads to the appearance of infinite complex sums. Perturbation procedures have been proposed which enabled analytical expressions to be derived in some cases (Turbiner 1984).

Our approach is based on the dynamical $\mathrm{O}(4,2)$ group of a hydrogen atom (Malkin and Man'ko 1965, 1966, Barut and Rasmussen 1973). As is known, the unitary irreducible representation of $O(4,2)$ algebra is related by the so-called tilting (scaling) transformation to the Coulomb functions of the discrete spectrum of the H atom while perturbations of the polynomial form are expressed through the generators of $\mathrm{O}(4,2)$ algebra. Therefore, the calculation of corrections to eigenfunctions and eigenenergies is a pure algebraic procedure. Moreover the corrections to eigenfunctions are expressed through a limited number of basis functions of the representation.

We shall demonstrate the possibilities of pur approach by examining a hydrogen-like atom in the field of a distant point charge. Though this problem has been investigated for many years (Krogdahl 1944, Coulson and Gillam 1947, Coulson and Robinson 1958, Power 1973, Komarov et al 1976), simple expansions for the wavefunctions were not obtained. Such expansions are necessary to formulate boundary conditions in the adiabatic representation of the three-body problem (Faifman et al 1976, Ponomarev et al 1981):

Let us recall some properties of the $\mathrm{O}(4,2)$ group following papers by Bednar (1973) and Bechler (1977). The Lie algebra $O(4,2)$ is formed by 15 generators

[^0]$(\alpha, \beta=1,2, \ldots, 6)$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\alpha \beta}=-L_{\beta \alpha} \quad\left[L_{\alpha \beta}, L_{\beta \gamma}\right]=\mathrm{i} g_{\alpha \alpha} L_{\beta \gamma} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
g_{\alpha \alpha}=(1,1,1,1,-1,-1) .
$$

In the $x$ representation $L_{\alpha \beta}$ are defined by relations ( $i, j, k=1,2,3$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{i j}=x_{i} p_{j}-x_{j} p_{i} \equiv \varepsilon_{i j k} L_{k} \\
& L_{i 4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i} \boldsymbol{p}^{2}+2 \mathrm{i} p_{i}-2 \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} p_{i}-x_{i}\right) \equiv A_{i} \\
& L_{i 5}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i} \boldsymbol{p}^{2}+2 \mathrm{i} p_{i}-2 \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} p_{i}+x_{i}\right) \\
& L_{46}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r \boldsymbol{p}^{2}-r\right)  \tag{2}\\
& L_{56}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r \boldsymbol{p}^{2}+r\right) \\
& L_{45}=-\mathrm{i}(1+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}) \\
& L_{i 6}=-r p_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{k}=-\mathrm{i} \partial / \partial x_{k}, L_{k}$ and $A_{k}$ are the momentum, moment and Runge-Lenz vector components, $r=\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Operators (1) and (2) act in the Hilbert function space with the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f \mid g\rangle=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x f^{*}(x) r^{-1} g(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to which they are self-conjugate. As a basis of the Hilbert space we choose eigenfunctions of three commuting operators $L_{56}, A_{3}, L_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{56}\left|n_{1} \bar{n}_{2} m\right\rangle=n\left|n_{1} \bar{n}_{2} m\right\rangle \\
& A_{3}\left|n_{1} \bar{n}_{2} m\right\rangle=\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right)\left|n_{1} \bar{n}_{2} m\right\rangle  \tag{4}\\
& L_{3}\left|n_{1} \bar{n}_{2} m\right\rangle=m\left|n_{1} \bar{n}_{2} m\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

The basis functions (4) in the parabolic coordinates

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
y_{1}=r+x_{3} & y_{2}=r-x_{3} & y_{3}=\tan ^{-1} x_{2} / x_{1} \\
\mathrm{~d}^{3} y=\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{1} \mathrm{~d} y_{2} \mathrm{~d} y_{3} &
\end{array}
$$

have the form $(j=1,2)$
$\bar{\Phi}_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}(y)=C_{n_{1} n_{2} m} \varphi_{n_{1} m}\left(y_{1}\right) \varphi_{n_{2} m}\left(y_{2}\right)(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} m y_{3}} \begin{cases}(-1)^{m}, & m>0 \\ 1, & m \leqslant 0\end{cases}$
$C_{n_{1} n_{2} m}=2^{1 / 2}\left\{n_{1}!\left[\left(n_{1}+|m|\right)!\right]^{-1} n_{2}!\left[\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)!\right]^{-1}\right\}^{1 / 2}$
$\varphi_{n_{j} m}\left(y_{j}\right)=\left[\left(n_{j}+|m|\right)!\right]^{-1} y_{j}^{\mid m / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} y_{j}\right) L_{n_{j}+|m|}^{|m|}\left(y_{j}\right)$
where $L_{n,|m|}^{|m|}\left(y_{j}\right)$ are the Laguerre polynomials (Courant and Hilbert 1953).
The functions (5) coincide in form with the Coulomb functions of the H atom and differ only by a factor $\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}$ in the argument and the normalisation factor $C_{n_{1} n_{2} m}$ defined by condition (3). This fact simplifies considerably the construction of the procedure of perturbation theory on the basis of (4) and (5). However, it is more
convenient to use the unnormalised functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle=C_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{-1}\left|n_{1} \bar{n}_{2} m\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the operators $L_{46}$ and $L_{35}$ are defined on the functions (6) in the simplest way

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{46}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(n_{1}+|m|\right)\left|n_{1}-1 n_{2} m\right\rangle+\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left|n_{1}+1 n_{2} m\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)\left|n_{1} n_{2}-1 m\right\rangle+\left(n_{2}+1\right) \mid n_{1} n_{2}+1 m\right)\right]  \tag{7}\\
L_{35}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle= & \frac{1}{2}\left[-\left(n_{1}+|m|\right)\left|n_{1}-1 n_{2} m\right\rangle-\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left|n_{1}+1 n_{2} m\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)\left|n_{1} n_{2}-1 m\right\rangle+\left(n_{2}+1\right) \mid n_{1} n_{2}+1 m\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us apply the formalism of the $O(4,2)$ group to the problem of the hydrogen-like atom in the charge field. Let the atom with the nuclear charge $Z_{a}$ (atomic units are used throughout) be in the field of the charge $Z_{b}$ placed at a distance $R$, which is larger than the size of the atom. Then the Schrödinger equation (axis $x_{3}$ is directed from $Z_{b}$ to $Z_{a}$ )

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2} p^{2}-Z_{a} / r-Z_{b} /|\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{r}|+Z_{a} Z_{b} / R-E\right)|\Psi\rangle=0
$$

takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} p^{2}-Z_{a} / r-Z_{b} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k} r^{k-1}(-1)^{k-1} P_{k-1}\left(x_{3} / r\right)+Z_{a} Z_{b} / R-E\right)|\Psi\rangle=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We represent the solution (8) as the expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E^{(0)}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k} E^{(k)} \quad \Psi=\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k} \Psi^{(k)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{(0)}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{a} / n\right)^{2}$ and $\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}$ are the energy and normalised wavefunction of a unperturbed problem, respectively. After multiplying (8) by $r$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} r \boldsymbol{p}^{2}-Z_{a}-r E^{(0)}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k} V^{(k)}\left(x_{3}, r\right)\right)|\Psi\rangle=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{(1)}\left(x_{3}, r\right)=E^{(1)} r-\left(Z_{a}-1\right) Z_{b} r \\
& V^{(k)}\left(x_{3}, r\right)=E^{(k)} r+Z_{b} r^{k}(-1)^{k-1} P_{k-1}\left(x_{3} / r\right) \quad k \geqslant 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Further we perform the unitary transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi=U \Psi=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \theta L_{45}\right) \Psi \\
& \tanh \theta=\left(1+2 E^{(0)}\right) /\left(1-2 E^{(0)}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and use the relations $U^{-1} x_{k} U=\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{k}, \quad r=L_{56}-L_{46}, x_{3}=L_{35}-L_{34}, \frac{1}{2} r p^{2}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{46}+L_{56}\right)$. Then equation (10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{56}-\frac{Z_{a}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}-\frac{1}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k} V^{(k)}\left(\frac{L_{35}-L_{34}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \frac{L_{56}-L_{46}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)\right)|\Phi\rangle=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using for $\Phi$ the representation (9) and collecting in (12) terms at equal degrees of $R$,
we obtain the system of inhomogeneous equations ( $k=1,2, \ldots$ )
$L(n)\left|\bar{\Phi}_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}\right\rangle \equiv\left[L_{56}-Z_{a} /\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]\left|\Phi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}\right\rangle=\left(L_{56}-n\right)\left|\Phi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}\right\rangle=0$
$L(n)\left|\Phi^{(k)}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left[V^{(k)}\left(\frac{L_{35}-L_{34}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \frac{L_{56}-L_{46}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)\left|\bar{\Phi}_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}\right\rangle\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\sum_{p=1}^{k-1} V^{(k-p)}\left(\frac{L_{35}-L_{34}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \frac{L_{56}-L_{46}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)\left|\Phi^{(p)}\right\rangle\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\equiv f^{(k)}$.
With relation (7) and the polynomial form of $V^{(k)}$ we expand the right-hand sides $f^{(k)}$ and corrections $\Phi^{(k)}$ over the states $|s t\rangle=\left|n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m\right\rangle C_{n_{1} n_{2} m}$ which are normalised only in the case $s=t=0$ (see (6)), i.e. $|00\rangle=\bar{\Phi}_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{(k)}=\sum_{s=-k}^{k} \sum_{t=-k}^{k} f_{s t}^{(k)}|s t\rangle=\frac{1}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left[V^{(k)}\left(\frac{L_{35}-L_{34}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \frac{L_{56}-L_{46}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)|00\rangle\right. \\
&+\sum_{p=1}^{k} V^{(k-p)}\left(\frac{L_{35}-L_{34}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \frac{L_{56}-L_{46}}{\left(-2 E^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
&\left.\times\left(\sum_{s=-p}^{p} a_{s-s}^{(p)}|s-s\rangle+\sum_{s=-p}^{p} \sum_{t=-p}^{p} b_{s t}^{(p)}|s t\rangle\right)\right] \\
& \Phi^{(k)}=\sum_{s=-k}^{k} a_{s-s}^{(k)}|s-s\rangle+\sum_{s=-k}^{k} \sum_{t=-k}^{k} b_{s t}^{(k)}|s t\rangle \equiv \Phi^{(k)}(a)+\Phi^{(k)}(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms $\Phi^{(k)}(a)$ are due to the Coulomb degeneration in the layer $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+|m|+1$ and form the zero-order function, which is independent of the magnitude of $Z_{b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0, k)}=\bar{\Phi}_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}+\sum_{p=1}^{k} R^{-p} \Phi^{(p)}(a) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the perturbation operator up to $(k+2)$ th order

$$
V(k+2)=\sum_{p=1}^{k+2} R^{-p} V^{(p)}
$$

Taking into account the orthogonality of the functions $|s t\rangle$ with weight $r^{-1}$ according to (3) and $L(n)|s t\rangle=(s+t)|s t\rangle$ we rewrite the system of equations (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s+t) b_{s t}^{(k)}|s t\rangle=f_{s t}^{(k)}|s t\rangle \quad-k \leqslant s, t \leqslant k \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (15) at $k=1, s=t=0$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{00}^{(1)}|00\rangle=\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2}\left[E^{(1)}-\left(Z_{a}-1\right) Z_{b}\right]\left(L_{56}-L_{46}\right)|00\rangle \\
&=\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2}\left[E^{(1)}-\left(Z_{a}-1\right) Z_{b}\right] L_{56}|00\rangle \\
&=\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2}\left[E^{(1)}-\left(Z_{a}-1\right) Z_{b}\right] n|00\rangle=0 . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(1)}=\left(Z_{a}-1\right) Z_{b} \quad V^{(1)} \equiv 0 \quad b_{s t}^{(1)}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation of corrections of a higher order also does not encounter any great difficulties. Indeed, taking into account (17) one can observe that $f_{s t}^{(k)}$ depends linearly
on $E^{(p)}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant k$ and $a_{s-s}^{(1)}, b_{s t}^{(t+1)}, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant k-3$. This allows one to obtain the $k$ th order correction to energy from the equation linear in $E^{(k)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{00}^{(k)} \equiv f_{00}^{(k)}\left(E^{(p)}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant k, a_{s-s}^{(l)}, b_{s t}^{(l+1)}, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant k-3\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{s t}^{(k)}=(s+t)^{-1} f_{s t}^{(k)}\left(E^{(p)}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant k, a_{s-s}^{(t)}, b_{s t}^{(t)}, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant k-2\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{s-s}^{(k+1)}$ is independent of $E^{(k+1)}$ we find $a_{s-s}^{(k-1)}$ from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s-s}^{(k+1)}=f_{s-s}^{(k+1)}\left(E^{(p)}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant k, a_{s-s}^{(l)}, b_{s t}^{(l)}, 1 \leqslant l \leqslant k-1\right)=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in the $(k+1)$ th order we first find $a_{s-s}^{(k-1)}$ and then $E^{(k+1)}$ and $b_{s t}^{(k+1)}$. The calculation of the corrections by the linear equation (18)-(20) is reduced in each order to a pure algebraic procedure. Using the subroutine (18)-(20) up to the order $R^{-4}$, we obtain the wavefunctions up to order $R^{-2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1-1}^{(1)}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{2}+|m|\right) \\
& a_{1-1}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2}\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)\left(1+n_{1}-n_{2}\right) \\
& a_{2-2}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{8}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2}\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{1}+2\right)\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)\left(n_{2}+|m|-1\right) \\
& b_{20}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{8}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{3} Z_{b}\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{1}+2\right)  \tag{21}\\
& b_{-20}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{8}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{3} Z_{b}\left(n_{1}+|m|\right)\left(n_{1}+|m|-1\right) \\
& b_{10}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{4}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{3} Z_{b}\left[2\left(2 n_{1}+2+|m|\right)-3\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)\right]\left(n_{1}+1\right) \\
& b_{-10}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{4}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{3} Z_{b}\left[2\left(2 n_{1}+|m|\right)-3\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)\right]\left(n_{1}+|m|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining seven coefficients are obtained up to the sign by interchanging $n_{1}$ by $n_{2}$
$a_{-11}^{(1)}=-a_{1-1}^{(1)}\left(n_{1} \leftrightarrow n_{2}\right), \quad a_{-s s}^{(2)}=a_{s-s}^{(2)}\left(n_{1} \leftrightarrow n_{2}\right), \quad b_{o s}^{(2)}=-b_{s o}^{(2)}\left(n_{1} \leftrightarrow n_{2}\right)$.
Applying the tilting operation $\left(Z_{a}^{1 / 2} / n\right) U^{-1}$ (equation (11)) to the calculated function $\Phi=\bar{\Phi}_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}+R^{-1} \Phi^{(1)}+R^{-2} \Phi^{(2)}$ in accordance with Bednar (1973) and Bechler (1977) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi=\left(Z_{a}^{1 / 2} / n\right) & \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \theta L_{45}\right) \Phi=\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}+R^{-1} \sum_{s=-1}^{1} a_{s-s}^{(1)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}-s m}^{(0)} \\
& +R^{-2} \sum_{s=-2}^{2} a_{s-s}^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}-s m}^{(0)}+R^{-2} \sum_{s=-2}^{2} \sum_{t=-2}^{2} b_{s t}^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}\left(\frac{Z_{a}}{n} \boldsymbol{y}\right)$

$$
=\frac{Z_{a}^{3 / 2}}{n^{2}} C_{n_{1} n_{2} m} \varphi_{n_{1}+s m}\left(\frac{Z_{a}}{n} y_{1}\right) \varphi_{n_{2}+t m}\left(\frac{Z_{a}}{n} y_{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} m y_{3}}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \begin{cases}(-1)^{m}, & m>0 \\ 1, & m \leqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

in the notation of (5). Note that $\Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}$ coincide up to the normalisation factor with the parabolic functions of the Coulomb problem with the new charge $Z_{*}=Z_{a} n_{*} / n$ and with the new principal quantum number $n_{*}=n+s+t$ (see equation (9)).

Now we normalise the function (23) up to the terms $R^{-2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\text {norm }}=\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)} & {\left[1-R^{-2}\left(\sum_{s=-2}^{2} \sum_{t=-2}^{2} b_{s t}^{(2)}\left(\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)} \mid \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}\right)\right.\right.} \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1-1}^{(1)} C_{n_{1} n_{2} m} / C_{n_{1}+1 n_{2}-1 m}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{-11}^{(1)} C_{n_{1} n_{2} m} / C_{n_{1}-1 n_{2}+1 m}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +R^{-1} \sum_{s=-1}^{1} a_{s-s}^{(1)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}-s m}^{(0)} \\
& +R^{-2}\left(\sum_{s=-2}^{2} a_{s-s}^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}-s m}^{(0)}+\sum_{s=-2}^{2} \sum_{t=-2}^{2} b_{s t}^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The orthogonality condition in (24)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)} \mid \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}\right)=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} y \Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{*(0)}\left(\frac{Z_{a}}{n} y\right) \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}\left(\frac{Z_{*}}{n_{*}} y\right) \\
=n^{-1}\left\langle n_{1} n_{2} m\right| L_{56}-L_{46}\left|n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m\right\rangle=\delta_{s o} \delta_{t o}
\end{gathered}
$$

is fulfilled only at $Z_{*}=Z_{a}$, i.e. at $s+t=0$.
Taking into account that $E^{(2)}=\frac{3}{2}\left(n / Z_{a}\right) Z_{b}\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\sum_{s=-2}^{2} \sum_{t=-2}^{2} b_{s t}^{(2)}\left(\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)} \mid \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2} E^{(2)}
$$

we finally obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{\text {norm }}=\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)} & \left\{1-\frac{1}{8}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2} R^{-2}\left[\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)\left(n_{1}+|m|+1\right) n_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)\left(n_{1}+|m|\right)\left(n_{2}+|m|+1\right)\right]\right\}+R^{-1} \sum_{s=-1} a_{s-s}^{(1)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}-s m}^{(0)} \\
& +R^{-2} \sum_{s=-2}^{2} a_{s-s}^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}-s m}^{(0)}+R^{-2} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{s=-2}^{2} \sum_{t=-2}^{2} b_{s t}^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2} E^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that the last term in (25) corresponds to the Stark correction to the hydrogen-like wavefunction

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{-2}\left(\sum_{s=-2}^{2} \sum_{t=-2}^{2} b_{s t}^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1}+s n_{2}+t m}^{(0)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(n / Z_{a}\right)^{2} E^{(2)} \Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}\right) \\
=\frac{Z_{b}}{R^{2}} \sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}} \frac{\left(\Psi_{n_{1} n_{2} m}^{(0)}\left|x_{3}\right| \Psi_{n 1}^{(0)} n_{2}^{\prime} m\right.}{E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{n^{\prime}}^{(0)}} \Psi_{n_{1} n_{2}^{\prime} m}^{(0)}
\end{gathered}
$$

which is linear with respect to the electric field $Z_{b} / R^{2}$. The remaining terms define the zero-order wavefunction for the quadrupole and octupole interactions.

Thus, we have shown that an explicit use of the dynamical $\mathrm{O}(4,2)$ group of the Coulomb problem allows one to obtain the simple expansions (21), (22) and (25) in powers of $R^{-1}$ for a hydrogen-like atom in the point charge field. In this case only the zero-order corrections (14) contain the Coulomb functions of the unperturbed atom with fixed principal quantum number $n$. In contrast with the ordinary procedure of the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory the remaining corrections are expressed through a finite number of modified Coulomb functions corresponding to a changed
charge $Z_{*}$ of the nucleus of the atom. The method suggested can be used in the theory of the hydrogen-like atom for any perturbation of the polynomial form.
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